

1
2
3
4
5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6
7 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
8

9 MICHAEL NILSEN,

10 Plaintiff,

No. C 17-04175 WHA

11 v.

12 JUDGE ANDREW BLUM, *et al.*,

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

13 Defendants.
14 _____/

15 On July 27, *pro se* plaintiff Michael Nilsen filed this action. Defendants, Judges
16 Andrew Blum, Arnold Rosenfield, Michael Lunas, and the Superior Court of California, County
17 of Lake moved to dismiss. The motion was served on plaintiffs via first class mail on August
18 14. Plaintiff's opposition was due on August 28, but plaintiff has not yet filed any opposition.
19 Plaintiff shall file a response to the motion to dismiss by **SEPTEMBER 27**. If he fails to do so,
20 the complaint will be **DISMISSED**.

21 In the event that plaintiff files a response, defendants shall have until **OCTOBER 3** to file
22 their reply. The hearing on the motion remains unchanged.
23

24 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**
25

26 Dated: September 25, 2017.

27 
28 WILLIAM ALSUP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE